1		The Honorable John H. Chun
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
6	AT SEA	
7	ELIZABETH DE COSTER, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	No. 2:21-cv-00693-JHC
8	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED MOTION AND FRE 502(d) ORDER REGARDING PRODUCTION OF
10	v.	COURT-ORDERED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION MATERIAL
11	AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
12	Defendant.	
13	DEBORAH FRAME-WILSON, et al., on behalf	No. 2:20-cy-00424-JHC
14	of themselves and all others similarly situated,	No. 2.20-cv-00424-JHC
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	v.	
17	AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
18	Defendant.	
19		No. 2:22-cv-00965-JHC
20	CHRISTOPHER BROWN, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	, in the second	
23	V.	
24	AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
25	Defendant.	
26		
27		
	STIP. AND ORDER REGARDING PRODUCTION OF COURT-ORDERED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION MA' (Nos. 2:20-cv-00424-JHC; 2:21-cv-00693-JHC; 2:22-cv-0	

STIPULATED MOTION

Plaintiffs in the above-captioned actions ("Private Plaintiffs") and Amazon.com, Inc. ("Amazon") (together, the "Parties"), by and through their attorneys of record, respectfully request that the Court enter the proposed order set forth below. In support of this request, the Parties state the following:

- 1. On April 25, 2024, the plaintiffs in *FTC et al.* v. *Amazon.com, Inc.*, No. 2:23-cv-01495-JHC (W.D. Wash.), moved to compel the production of Amazon's legal holds and other privileged materials related to preservation in that action and the FTC investigation that preceded it. On May 13, 2024, Amazon filed a response in opposition to that motion. Amazon argued that the litigation holds and related materials are privileged, and that there has been no "preliminary showing" of spoliation sufficient to pierce that privilege.
- 2. On July 9, 2024, this Court denied the plaintiffs' motion to compel without prejudice. No. 2:23-cv-01495-JHC, Dkt. 264 at 2, 4 ("Defendant correctly notes that litigation hold notices are not discoverable.") (internal quotation marks omitted). Instead of requiring the immediate production of the underlying documents, the Court ordered a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of an Amazon representative to testify about document retention. *See generally id.* The Court instructed that, at the deposition, the plaintiffs "may inquire into (1) when and to whom the litigation hold notices were given, (2) what kinds and categories of information and data Defendant's employees were instructed to preserve and collect, and (3) what specific actions they were instructed to take to that end." *Id.* at 4.
- 3. On August 6, 2024, after confirming that the FTC had no objection to their participation, Private Plaintiffs asked Amazon to participate in the 30(b)(6) deposition ordered by the Court, which was scheduled for August 21, 2024.
- 4. On August 13, Amazon told Plaintiffs it did not agree to their participation. Among other concerns, Amazon noted that while disclosure of potentially privileged or work-product-protected information in the *FTC* case under judicial compulsion does not waive the privilege or protection, *see, e.g., Transamerica Computer Co., Inc.* v. *Int'l Bus. Mach. Corp.*, 573 F.2d 646, STIP. AND ORDER REGARDING

12.

650–52 (9th Cir. 1978), allowing Private Plaintiffs' participation without any such order could be viewed as an independent ground for waiver.

- 5. After the Parties conferred, in lieu of Private Plaintiffs' attendance at the 30(b)(6) deposition, and in light of the Court's order for reasonable fact discovery coordination among the Parties across cases, Amazon agreed to (a) produce certain non-privileged documents and testimony pertaining to its preservation efforts and use of ephemeral messaging systems more broadly, among other things; and (b) discuss with Private Plaintiffs the production of the August 21, 2024 Rule 30(b)(6) transcript and related materials under an appropriate court order to protect against waiver.
- 6. On August 21, 2024, the Court-ordered deposition in the *FTC* case was held and no party outside the *FTC* action attended or participated in the deposition. Amazon designated the deposition transcript as Highly Confidential under the protective order entered in that case.
- 7. Private Plaintiffs now seek production of the unredacted transcript and the exhibits used in the August 21, 2024 Court-ordered deposition in the *FTC* case (the "Transcript Materials"). Plaintiffs' position is that this limited production is required under the Coordination Order. Discovery Coordination Order, *see, e.g., Frame-Wilson* v. *Amazon.com, Inc.*, No. 2:20-cv-00424-JHC, Dkt. 172, at 5–6. Private Plaintiffs have represented and agree that they will not assert, in this or any other matter, that production of the Transcript Materials implicates or constitutes a privilege waiver of any kind.
- 8. Despite Private Plaintiffs' representation, Amazon's position is that it cannot produce the Transcript Materials to Private Plaintiffs without a Court order under Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), lest that production be viewed (however incorrectly) as an independent ground for waiver. Amazon would, however, comply with a Court order compelling the production of the Transcript Materials to Private Plaintiffs, just as it complied with this Court's order to produce a witness to testify to the topics in the Court's 30(b)(6) order in the *FTC* case.

9. Private Plaintiffs thus ask the Court to compel Amazon's production of the 1 Transcript Materials. In receiving the Transcript Materials by this Court Order, Private Plaintiffs 2 agree to refrain from any argument that the attorney-client privilege or work-product protections 3 are waived by virtue of such production, and Amazon understands that such a compelled 4 5 production protects it against third-party claims that Amazon's production of the Transcript Materials to Private Plaintiffs implicates or constitutes a privilege waiver. Amazon also requests 6 that, should the Court issue this order, it expressly guard against a third-party claim of waiver through production. See Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) ("A federal court may order that the privilege or 8 protection is not waived by disclosure connected with the litigation pending before the court—in which event the disclosure is also not a waiver in any other federal or state proceeding."). 10 11 SO STIPULATED this 20th day of September, 2024. 12 13 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 14 By: <u>/s/ Steve W. Berman</u> Steve W. Berman (WSBA No. 12536) 15 By: /s/ Barbara A. Mahoney 16 Barbara A. Mahoney (WSBA No. 31845) 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 17 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 18 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 E-mail: steve@hbsslaw.com 19 E-mail: barbaram@hbsslaw.com 20 Anne F. Johnson (pro hac vice) 21 594 Dean Street, Suite 24 Brooklyn, NY 11238 22 Telephone: (718) 916-3520 E-mail: annej@hbsslaw.com 23 24 25 26

27

1	KELLER POSTMAN LLC
2 3	Zina G. Bash ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500
4	Austin, TX, 78701 Telephone: (512) 690-0990
5	E-mail: zina.bash@kellerpostman.com
6	Jessica Beringer ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Shane Kelly ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )
7	150 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 4100
8	Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 741-5220
9	E-mail: jessica.beringer@kellerpostman.com E-mail: shane.kelly@kellerpostman.com
10	Roseann Romano (pro hac vice)
11	1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036
12	Telephone: (202) 983-5484
13	E-mail: roseann.romano@kellerpostman.com
14	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the proposed Class
15	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
16	SULLIVAN, LLP
17	By: <u>/s/ Alicia Cobb</u>
18	Alicia Cobb, WSBA # 48685 1109 First Avenue, Suite 210
19	Seattle, WA 98101
20	Telephone: (206) 905-7000 Email: aliciacobb@quinnemanuel.com
21	
22	Steig D. Olson ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) David D. LeRay ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )
	Nic V. Siebert (pro hac vice)
23	Maxwell P. Deabler-Meadows ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
24	New York, NY 10010
25	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Email: steigolson@quinnemanuel.com
26	Email: davidleray@quinnemanuel.com
27	Email: nicolassiebert@quinnemanuel.com Email: maxmeadows@quinnemanuel.com

Stip. and Order Regarding Production of court-ordered 30(b)(6) Deposition Material (Nos. 2:20-cv-00424-JHC; 2:21-cv-00693-JHC; 2:22-cv-00965-JHC) - 4

Adam B. Wolfson (pro hac vice) 1 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-2543 2 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Email: adamwolfson@quinnemanuel.com 3 4 Interim Executive Committee for Plaintiffs and the proposed Class 5 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 6 7 By: /s/ John A. Goldmark John A. Goldmark, WSBA #40980 8 MaryAnn Almeida, WSBA #49086 9 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104-1610 10 Telephone: (206) 622-3150 Email: JohnGoldmark@dwt.com 11 Email: MaryAnnAlmeida@dwt.com 12 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & 13 **GARRISON LLP** 14 Karen L. Dunn (pro hac vice) William A. Isaacson (pro hac vice) 15 Amy J. Mauser (pro hac vice) Kyle Smith (pro hac vice) 16 2001 K Street, NW 17 Washington, D.C. 20006-1047 Telephone: (202) 223-7300 18 Email: kdunn@paulweiss.com Email: wisaacson@paulweiss.com 19 Email: amauser@paulweiss.com Email: ksmith@paulweiss.com 20 21 Meredith Dearborn (pro hac vice) 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor 22 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 432-5100 23 Email: mdearborn@paulweiss.com 24 Attorneys for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. 25 26 27

1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

STIP. AND ORDER REGARDING

**ORDER** 

Based on the foregoing, the Court GRANTS the Parties' stipulated motion. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 502(d):

- 1. Amazon shall produce the unredacted transcript and all exhibits (including the notice of deposition and attachments thereto) used in the Court-ordered deposition held on August 21, 2024 in the case of FTC et al. v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:23-cv-01495-JHC ("Transcript Materials"), to counsel for plaintiffs in the above-captioned actions ("Plaintiffs") pursuant to the Discovery Coordination Order, see, e.g., Frame-Wilson v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 2:20-cv-00424-JHC, Dkt. 172, at 5–6.
- 2. This production of Transcript Materials shall occur no later than 5 business days after Amazon's September 20, 2024 deadline to submit any errata to the deposition transcript, and shall include any such errata in the production.
- 3. The production of the Transcript Materials in the above-captioned proceedings is compelled by the Court. It will not constitute or be deemed a waiver or forfeiture—in this or any other action—of any claim of privilege or work-product protection that Amazon would otherwise be entitled to assert with respect to the Transcript Materials and its subject matters. This order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed under applicable law, and is effective as to Plaintiffs, their counsel, and any other party or person who may later seek production of these materials or others on the same subject matters in this or any other action.
- 4. This compelled production of the Transcript Materials applies only to the Transcript Materials, and not to any other information, documents, or other materials on the same or different subject matters.
- 5. All recipients of the Transcript Materials shall treat them as Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only under all applicable protective orders.
- 6. In the event that any recipient were ever to be required, by a valid discovery request or court order, to produce the Transcript Materials to a third party, the recipient shall PRODUCTION OF COURT-ORDERED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION MATERIAL

promptly, but in any event no later than 14 days after receiving a valid discovery request or court order, notify Amazon in writing that some or all of the material requested in subject to this order. Amazon shall have 21 days from such notification to either: (i) consent to the disclosure to the requesting party subject to this Rule 502(d) order, or (ii) object to the disclosure in writing and set forth in detail the grounds on which the objection is based. If the requesting party and Amazon are unable to reach a resolution after a good faith effort to meet and confer, then either the requesting party or Amazon may seek any appropriate order following the applicable procedures for such requests from the court.

- 7. Any party receiving the Transcript Materials without Amazon's express consent as to their re-production shall return them to Amazon, upon request, and, within 5 business days of receiving such request, delete any versions of the documents it maintains and make no use of the information contained therein regardless of whether the receiving party agrees with the claim of privilege and/or work-product protection.
- The provisions of Federal Rule of Evidence 502(b) are inapplicable to the disclosure of the Transcript Materials under this order. Specifically, there has been no waiver even if a third party discloses the Transcript Materials, inadvertently or otherwise, regardless of whether the party took reasonable steps to prevent the disclosure or to rectify the error.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED this 23rd day of September, 2024.

20

25

26

27